Rödl & Partner NEWSFLASH KENYA

LEVY

COURT OF APPEAL LIFTS STAY ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING

Issue: 29 January 2024

Latest news on law, tax, and business in Kenya

www.roedl.com/kenya



Rödl & Partner

NEWSFLASH KENYA

COURT OF APPEAL LIFTS STAY ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING LEVY

Issue: 29 January 2024

Read in this issue:

- → Court of appeal lifts stay on affordable housing levy
 - Background
 - Court finding
 - Implication of the ruling

Rödl & Partner

→ Court of appeal lifts stay on affordable housing levy

Background

In this issue, we discuss the implications of the Ruling delivered by the Court of Appeal in the case of The National Assembly & Another (Applicants) vs. Okiya Omtatah Okoiti & Others (Respondents) Consolidat-ed Civil Application No. E577 of 2023, on 26 January 2023.

The Finance Act, 2023 was assented by the President on the 26 June 2023, which brought about various changes to the various tax laws currently in place. One of the contentious changes brought about by the Finance Act 2023 was the introduction of the 1.5% of gross salary Affordable Housing Levy on employees, with employers being required to match the same contribution amounts. As a result, the Respondents made an application to the High Court, challenging the constitutionality of the enactment of the Finance Act 2023.

The High Court delivered a ruling on 28 November 2023, which declared various sections of the Finance Act 2023 unconstitutional. Amongst the sections declared unconstitutional included section 84, which introduced the Affordable Housing Levy. Aggrieved by this decision, the Applicants appealed to the Court of Appeal and sought a temporary order to suspend the effects of the judgement delivered by the High Court. The High Court allowed a stay of judgement up to 10 January 2024 pending the hearing and determination of an appeal filed by the Applicants at the Court of Appeal. The Court of Appeal issued an extended stay on 5 January 2024 to be reviewed last week on 26 January 2024.

Court finding

The Court of Appeal cited the ruling delivered by the High Court in Civil Application No. 304 of 2023, in explaining why the argument by the Applicants that the appeal will be rendered invalid on the basis that it is not possible to backdate the taxes in the case that the appeal succeeds, given that in the aforementioned civil application, taxes were backdated and collected during the pendency of the proceedings.

- The argument brought about by the Applicant on breach of contracts failed as there was not a single contract brought forth by the Applicants as evidence.
- The argument that some government departments may shut down and that jobs could be lost on the lack of a stay on the section relating to statutory instruments, failed for the lack of evidence. The statutory instruments can also be re-enacted by the Parliament.
- The Court would need to hear the appeal on merits regarding sections 30 to 38 and 47 (a)(v) of the Finance Act, 2023 before deciding on the suspension of these sections. The sections would be further annulled should the appeal succeed.
- The Court had limited circumstances to suspend declarations of invalidity and opportunities to bring the impugned legislation into line will only be granted to parliament under certain circumstances.

The Court of Appeal further stated that it would not be in public interest to grant a stay whose effect is to allow a statute that has been found to be constitutionally unfirm to continue in law pending the hearing of the appeal. That public interest lies in awaiting the determination of the appeal. The Court further dismissed all the Four (4) consolidated applications

Implication of the ruling

Employers will not be required to deduct and remit Affordable Housing Levy until the substantive issues raised on the appeal are resolved as directed by the ruling.

Regarding whether taxpayers will be refunded for deductions made from July 2023, the court is yet to address the issue. However, given that the court has ordered an expedited determination of the substan-tive appeal, there is expectation that this issue will be addressed then

Rödl & Partner

Contacts for further information



George Maina T +254 775 9740 50 M +254 711 2249 51 george.maina@roedl.com



Samuel Okumu T +254 775 9740 50 M +254 723 6089 82 samuel.okumu@roedl.com



Benjamin Niragire T +254 775 9740 50 M +254 721 3863 93 benjamin.niragire@roedl.com

This is a general guideline tax and legal alert and should not be a substitute for proper advice. For queries and clarification, kindly get in touch with Rödl & Partner.

Imprint

Publisher: Rödl & Partner Limited 5th Floor, Empress Office Suites (Opposite The Oval) Jalaram Road, Westlands Nairobi +254 775 974 050 www.roedl.com/kenya

Responsible for the content: George Maina <u>George.Maina@roedl.com</u>

Layout/Type: Lucy Matito Lucy.Matito@roedl.com This Newsletter offers non-binding information and is intended for general information purposes only. It is not intended as legal, tax or business administration advice and cannot be relied upon as individual advice. When compiling this Newsletter and the information included herein, Rödl & Partner used every endeavour to observe due diligence as best as possible, nevertheless Rödl & Partner cannot be held liable for the correctness, up-to-date content or completeness of the presented information.

The information included herein does not relate to any specific case of an individual or a legal entity, therefore, it is advised that professional advice on individual cases is always sought. Rödl & Partner assumes no responsibility for decisions made by the reader based on this Newsletter. Should you have further questions please contact Rödl & Partner contact persons.